

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,357 02/06/2002		02/06/2002	Michael D. Kilgore	M-11543 US	4288	
34036	7590	10/17/2005		EXAMINER		
~		PATENT GROUP	GUERRERO, MARIA F			
2350 MISSION COLLEGE BOULEVARD SUITE 360				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA CL	ARA, CA	95054	2822			

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/072,357	KILGORE, MICHAE	L D.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Maria Guerrero	2822		

		Maria Guerrero	2822	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE F	EPLY FILED 22 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. 🛛 1	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or his application, applicant must timely file one of the followolaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) [e of the final rejection.		•
b) [no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have b under ; set fort may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exemples of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the hin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. 🔲	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)); or any extendation and the Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	<u>DMENTS</u>			
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
	(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	∩ E below);	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🛛	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)): <u>claims 5 and 28</u> .		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		•	-
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>5 and 28</u> .		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-16,18 and 25-27</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	.4 b - 6 4bd - 46 £15		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	·		
	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. [Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	
	Other:	,		
			MARIA F. GUE PRIMARY EX	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment would require further consideration because the scope of the claim has been changed.